

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,570	01/14/2004	Man-Ho Lawrence Lee	200312986-1	5924
22879 759 HEWLETT PACK	0 02/22/2007 CARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 272400,	, 3404 E. HARMONY F	CONTINO, PAUL F		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2114	
	anion or prepayer	MAN DATE	DEI WED	V MODE
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 02/22/2007		02/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/758,570	LEE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul Contino	2114
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timularly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ja 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8,10-14 and 16-27 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,14 and 16-27 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 7,8 and 10-13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		•
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the concept that the conference of	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
	·	ī
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2114

DETAILED ACTION: Final Rejection

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed January 22, 2007, with respect to the prior art rejections of

claims 17-21 and 23-27 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The prior art rejections

of claims 17-21 and 23-27 have been withdrawn.

2. Claims 7-8 and 10-13 were not argued and thus the rejections under 35 USC 102(e) by

Wilson et al. continue to stand as stated in the previous Final Rejection Office Action of

November 27, 2006. Because no amendments were made to the claims and the grounds of

rejection have not changed, this Office Action designed as Final is considered appropriate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United

States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2114

3. Claims 7-8 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wilson

et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,099,942).

As in claim 7, Wilson et al. discloses a system for fault-tolerant processing, comprising:

a processor unit (Figure 1 #110) configurable to communicate with other components in

the system via at least two switching fabrics (Figure 1 #120,130; column 5 lines 8-17); and

computer instructions stored on a computer readable medium and operable to:

maintain a connectivity condition score (CCS) for each communication path along the at

least two fabrics based on connectivity errors experienced on the path (column 6 lines 26-32,

health score), wherein the number of connectivity errors during previous observation time

periods are factored into a corresponding CCS during an observation time period (column 5 lines

60-67, column 6 lines 20-23, and column 7 lines 27-35, where it is interpreted that every update

of a path element fault is cumulative, and therefor factors in previous fault observations) and the

CCSs are utilized to determine whether the processor unit will continue to be included in the

system (column 7 lines 5-60, where immediate servicing implies that a processor unit will not

continue to be included in the system).

As in claim 8, Wilson et al. discloses the severity of each connectivity error is factored

into the corresponding CCS (column 6 lines 27-67 and column 10, Tables 1 and 6A).

Art Unit: 2114

As in claim 10, Wilson et al. discloses the processor unit is further configured to communicate the CCSs to at least one of the other components in the system (column 7 lines 60-67, where external systems 180 and 190 are interpreted as other components).

As in claim 11, Wilson et al. discloses summarizing each set of CCSs into a single score (column 17 lines 9-48, Table 8).

As in claim 12, Wilson et al. discloses normalizing each set of CCSs based on the single score (column 17 line 66 through column 18 line 5).

As in claim 13, Wilson et al. discloses computer instructions executable by the processor unit and operable to: transform the normalized CCSs into a condensed format (column 17 line 67 through column 18 line 5).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-6, 14, and 16-27 are allowed.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,570

Art Unit: 2114

Page 5

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Paul Contino whose telephone number is (571) 272-3657. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Scott Baderman can be reached on (571) 272-3644. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,570

Art Unit: 2114

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PFC 2/12/2007

SCOTT BADERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER